

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,297	SEKINE ET AL.	
	Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1777	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____	
(1) <i>Maureen M. Wallenhorst</i> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <i>Joseph Kim (Appl. Rep.)</i> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>10 November 2010</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>none</i>			
Claims discussed: 1-4, 7, 13, 15-18, 20			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>Lumikari et al.</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>It was agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment so as to fully define over the reference to Lumikari et al and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1777</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			